



Patent
Attorney Docket No. GEMS8081.197

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of : Thomas L. Toth et al.
 Serial No. : 10/765,617
 Filed : January 27, 2004
 For : SYSTEM AND METHOD OF
 DETERMINING A USER DEFINED
 REGION-OF-INTEREST OF AN
 IMAGING SUBJECT FOR X-RAY FLUX
 MANAGEMENT CONTROL
 Group Art No. : 2882
 Examiner : Elizabeth Marie Keaney

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8(a) and 1.10

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

Mailing
 deposited with the US Postal Service in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

37 CFR 1.8(a) 37 CFR 1.10
 with sufficient postage as first class mail As "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" Mailing Label No.

Transmission

transmitted by facsimile to Fax No.: (571) 273-2885 addressed to the Patent and Trademark Office.

Date: October 6, 2005

Jessica A. Colaney
 Signature

Commissioner For Patents
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT
OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Dear Sir:

Responsive to the Notice of Allowability mailed September 22, 2005, Applicant submits the following remarks responsive to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance.



2005 11:53AM

ZPS GROUP SC

No. 9283 P. 4

U.S. Serial No. 10/765,617

REMARKS

In response to the Examiner's Reasons for Allowance, Applicant believes that a separate Statement of Reasons for Allowance is unnecessary in the present case as the file history sufficiently sets forth the patentable distinctions of claims 1-22.

The patentability of claims 1-22 lies in each claim as a whole. That is, a single particular element or feature of a claim does not define the claim's patentability, but rather, it is the combination of elements and the interconnection therebetween that define the invention. The claims cannot be considered to be limited in scope based on this brief statement by the Examiner. For example, the Examiner's statement with regards to claim 17 is only partially accurate because it does not take into account the subject position adjustment. Applicant stands by its position previously set forth in the file history.

Applicant does not acquiesce to the accuracy of the Examiner's statements in the Reasons for Allowance nor the Examiner's partial/incomplete paraphrasing of the claim elements.

Entry of these remarks is appreciated and Applicant cordially invites the Examiner to respond, should the Examiner disagree.

Respectfully submitted,



Timothy J. Ziolkowski
Registration No. 38,368
Direct Dial (262) 376-5139
tjz@2pspatents.com

Dated: October 6, 2005
Attorney Docket No.: GEMS8081.197

P.O. ADDRESS:

Ziolkowski Patent Solutions Group, SC
14135 N. Cedarburg Rd.
Mequon, WI 53097-1416
262-376-5170